### SOURCES OF DUST IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE





Christa Gall Aarhus University, Department of Physics and Astronomy





The Life Cycle of dust in the Universe Taipei, Taiwan, November 18-22



### DUST AT HIGH REDSHIFT



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei







11/18/13





e.g., Wang et al. 2010, Walter et al. 2004, Michalowski et al. 2010, Hjorth et al. 2013

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei







Finkelstein et al. 2012

#### 11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



### Large amounts of dust

Galaxy	SFR (M <sub>☉</sub> /yr)	Dust mass (M <sub>☉</sub> )	Stellar mass ( $M_{\odot}$ )
SMGs	100-1000	10 <sup>8-9</sup>	1011
QSOs	≥ 1000	10 <sup>8-9</sup>	1011

Requirement for sources:

→ Fast

➡ Efficient



### **Dust Sources**



- Stellar sources
  - AGB stars
  - Massive stars
  - Supernovae

- Non-stellar sources
  - Grain growth in molecular clouds
  - Dust formation in AGN outflows



## Timeline



### Late Stage of Stellar Evolution - Lifetimes



11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### **Dust Sources**



- Direct observations of dust sources, e.g. stellar sources at high redshift, are impossible
- Highest redshift detected core collapse SN is
   at z = 2.37 (Rodney et al. 2014)

### Standard picture in local Universe



 $\sim 0.005$  All stellar sources

Draine 2009

### Problem in MW, SMC, LMC: only 4-10% stellar dust

(e.g., Draine 2009, Matsuura et al. 2009, Boyer et al. 2012)

# Efficiency





11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



### **Potential sources**



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

# First Stars - Population III Stars

- Formed in dark-matter mini halos of ~10<sup>5−6</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> at redshift z ~ 10−50 (Tegmark et al. 1997)
- Pair Instability Supernova (PISNE) ~140–260  $M_{\odot}$
- Dust:
  - 140 260 M<sub>☉</sub> ~ 15-30%
  - 170  $M_{\odot}$  ~ 5.6 25  $M_{\odot}$

(Nozawa et al. 2003)

- (Cherchneff & Dwek 2009, 2010)
- Type Ic SN 2007bi has been reported as a PISN (Gal-Yam et al. 2009)
  - Core collapse SN (~100–280 M<sub>o</sub>) (Moriya et al. 2010; Yoshida and Umeda 2011)
- Chemical signature not unambiguously detected yet

(e.g., Beers and Christlieb 2005)



### AGB Stars



#### Theoretical dust yields for AGB stars Z=0.001 -2 Z = 0.04Ši -2 -3 $\log M_{\rm dust}$ E. -5 Z=0.008 Log (M<sub>d</sub>/M<sub>©</sub>) -2 ~10<sup>-2</sup> M<sub>o</sub> of dust -3 Up to -4 Z = 0.02Z=0.020 $^{-2}$ $\log M_{\rm dust}$ -3-4 A -7 2 З 5 6 $\mathbf{4}$ 1 2 1.5 3 5 $M_i[M_{\odot}]$ $M_*$ Nanni et al. 2013 Ferrarotti and Gail 2006 11/18/13 The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei Christa Gall

### AGB Stars

10<sup>1</sup>

10<sup>°</sup>

10-1

10-2

5.0

Dust Yield per Star ( $M_{\odot}$ )



11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

Redshift

Christa Gall

Michalowski et al. 2011

# **Massive Stars**



- RSGs and WR stars in NGC 604,HII region in M33 (Eldridge et al. 2006, Eldridge and Relaño 2011)
   WR stars appear less extinguished than RSGs
- Dust in colliding winds of binary systems: WR104, WR140 ,~8 x 10<sup>-7</sup> M<sub>o</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>

(e.g., Crowther 1997, Williams et al. 1990, Harris et al. 2004)



 $\Rightarrow$  3 × 10<sup>-8</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-2</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  ~ 1% of AGBs (Massey et al. 2005)

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

## **Massive Stars**



- LBV stars,  $> 25 M_{\odot}$
- e.g.,  $\eta$  Car : ~ 0.4 M $_{\odot}$  of dust (Gomez et al. 2010)







#### The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

# Supernovae – Type la

- Little to no dust in Ia SN (Nozawa et al. 2011)
- No observational evidence
- Dust is circumstellar or interstellar

(e.g.: Ishihara et al. 2010, Gomez et al. 2012, Phillips et al. 2013)







The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



# CORE COLLAPSE SUPERNOVAE KNOWN DUST PRODUCERS



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



### Core Collapse Supernovae



### Observational evidence of dust from supernovae



Gall et al. 2011, A&ARv



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Core Collapse Supernovae



SNe (13–40 M<sub>o</sub>) about 2–5% (Nozawa et al. 2003)

SNe (20M $_{\odot}$ ) ~ 0.103 – 0.16M $_{\odot}$ (Cherchneff & Dwek 2009, 2010)





Todini & Ferrara 2001, Bianchi & Schneider 2007, Nozawa et al. 2007

#### 11/18/13



### Different types of core collapse supernovae

SN Type	Characteristics	Progenitor $M_{\odot}$	Progenitor Type
II-P (plateau)	Hydrogen present	(7) 8 - 25	RSG
II-L (linear)	Blue	~ 15 – 25	YSG
lln (narrow line)	Narrow emission lines, broad base	~ 8 – 10 > 25 – 30	SAGB LBV
llb	Little hydrogen	> 25 - 30	WR, binary
lb	Helium rich	> 25	WR
lc	Helium deficient	> 25	WR, binary

No evidence of dust from Ic SNe!



# SUPERNOVAE WITH CIRCUMSTELLAR INTERACTION



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Type IIn SN 2010jl, VLT/X-shooter



#### 11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Supernova extinction curve



### Supernova extinction curve



### Large grains





11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Large grains are robust against destruction



Silvia et al. 2010

Grains > 0.1  $\mu$ m have highest survival rate

SN 2010jl: 80% of dust mass is in form of large grains !!



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



### Linking early and late dust masses



### Dust productivity





#### 11/18/13

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei



### ADDRESSING DUST IN GALAXIES



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Modeling dust evolution

Different model predictions e.g.: Morgan & Edmunds et al. 2003 Dwek et al. 2007 Calura et al. 2008 Valiante et al. 2009, 2012 -> favour AGB stars, grain growth Pipino et al. 2010 Dwek & Cherchneff 2010 Gall et al. 2011 A&A,525,13; 525,14 -> favour SNe !! Mattsson 2011 -> favour grain growth Asano et al. 2013 and many more .....

Different assumptions!!!



### **Chemical Evolution models**



Parameters	Value	Unit	Description
M <sub>ini</sub>	$5 \times 10^{10}, 1 \times 10^{11}, 5 \times 10^{11}, 1.3 \times 10^{12}$	$M_{\odot}$	Initial mass of the galaxy
$\psi_{ m ini}$	$1 \times 10^{3}$	$M_{\odot}~{ m yr}^{-1}$	Star formation rate
Z <sub>ini</sub>	10 <sup>-6</sup>	$Z_{\odot}$	Initial metallicity
k	1.5		Power for the relation $\psi(t) \propto M_{\rm ISM}(t)^k$
M <sub>cl</sub>	800, 100, 0	$M_{\odot}$	Swept-up ISM mass per SN
M <sup>crit</sup> <sub>core</sub>	15	$M_{\odot}$	Critical He core mass
ξsn	0.93		SN dust destruction factor
M <sub>SMBH</sub>	$3 \times 10^9, 5 \times 10^9$	$M_{\odot}$	Mass of the SMBH
t <sub>SMBH</sub>	$4 \times 10^{8}$	yr	Growth timscale for the SMBH
t <sub>max</sub>	10 <sup>9</sup>	yr	Maximum computed age of the galaxy
Parameters	Switch		Description
$Y_{\rm Z}, Y_{\rm E}, Y_{\rm Q}$ (for SN)	EIT08, WW95, N06, Georgy et al. (2009)		Possibilities for the SN yields
$Y_{\rm Z}, Y_{\rm E}, Y_{\rm Q}$ (for AGB)	van den Hoek & Groenewegen (1997)		Possibilities for the AGB yields
$\phi(m)$	Salpeter, mass-heavy, top-heavy, Larson 1, Larson 2		Initial mass function
SFR	evolving/constant		Additional switch for the SFR
$\epsilon_{AGB}(m,Z)$	only one case considered		Dust formation efficiency, AGB
$\epsilon_{\rm SN}(m)$	max/low		SN dust formation efficiency
ξsn	considered/not considered		SN dust destruction
BH/SN	SN when BH/no SN when BH		Possibility, if a SN occurs even a BH
			is formed or not
SMBH	considered/not considered		Growth of SMBH

Gall et al. 2011

### **Chemical Evolution models**





### **Tuning parameters**

### Most important: SFR, IMF, Dust source, SN dust destruction

Good models: Consistent with observational constraints



### **Chemical Evolution models**



### Modeling quasars at z > 6



Rapid evolution (30 Myr) with SN ~0.1-1  $M_{\odot}$ 

Gall et al. 2011A&A,525,13; 525,14

The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei





### **NON-STELLAR SOURCES**



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### Grain growth in the ISM



- Accretion and coagulation in cold molecular clouds
- Various models: (e.g.: Dwek 1998; Hirashita 2000, Zhukovska, Gail, & Trieloff 2008; Draine 2009; Hirashita & Yan 2009; Pipino et al. 2011; Valiante et al. 2011; Inoue 2011; Asano et al. 2013)
- Growth timescales: ~ 10<sup>7-8</sup> years
- Still need SNe to produce the metals and seeds
- Ejection of elements and dust into clouds ?
- Need to grow in a fairly high-density region, shielded from SN shocks, and need to grow a very large fraction of all metals ejected by SNe
- Fraction of cold molecular clouds ?
- Still subject to destruction



### LAST WORDS



The Life Cycle of Dust in the Universe, Taipei

### LAST WORDS



- Indication of fast and efficient process of dust formation
- Supernovae most promising sources
- Can account for dust in galaxies
- Challenges:
  - Destruction of SN dust by shock interactions
  - Destruction of all kinds of dust by SN shocks
- Importance of grain growth vs. direct formation
- Large grains?
- Can we trust observations, notably derived dust masses (kappa, ...) and stellar masses



# THANK YOU LHY/K XOO