

Ordinary differential equations

Introduction

Many scientific problems can be formulated in terms of a system of *ordinary differential equations* (ODE),

$$\mathbf{y}'(x) = \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad (1)$$

with an initial condition

$$\mathbf{y}(x_0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{y}' \equiv d\mathbf{y}/dx$, and the boldface variables \mathbf{y} and $\mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y})$ are generally understood as column-vectors.

Runge-Kutta methods

Runge-Kutta methods are one-step methods for numerical integration of ODE (1). The solution \mathbf{y} is advanced from the point x_0 to $x_1 = x_0 + h$ using a one-step formula

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{y}_0 + h\mathbf{k}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{y}_1 is the approximation to $\mathbf{y}(x_1)$, and \mathbf{k} is a cleverly chosen (vector) constant. The Runge-Kutta methods are distinguished by their *order*: a method has order p if it can integrate exactly an ODE where the solution is a polynomial of order p , or, in other words, if the error of the method is $O(h^{p+1})$ for small h .

The first order Runge-Kutta method is the Euler's method,

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0). \quad (4)$$

Second order Runge-Kutta methods advance the solution by an auxiliary evaluation of the derivative, e.g. the *mid-point method*,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_0 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0), \\ \mathbf{k}_{1/2} &= \mathbf{f}(x_0 + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y}_0 + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_0), \\ \mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{k}_{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

or the *two-point method*,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_0 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0), \\ \mathbf{k}_1 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0 + h, \mathbf{y}_0 + h\mathbf{k}_0), \\ \mathbf{k} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

These two methods can be combined into a third order method,

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{k}_0 + \frac{4}{6}\mathbf{k}_{1/2} + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{k}_1. \quad (7)$$

The most common is the fourth-order method, which is called *RK4* or simply *the Runge-Kutta method*,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_0 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0), \\ \mathbf{k}_1 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0 + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y}_0 + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_0), \\ \mathbf{k}_2 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0 + \frac{1}{2}h, \mathbf{y}_0 + \frac{1}{2}h\mathbf{k}_1), \\ \mathbf{k}_3 &= \mathbf{f}(x_0 + h, \mathbf{y}_0 + h\mathbf{k}_2), \\ \mathbf{k} &= \frac{1}{6}(\mathbf{k}_0 + 2\mathbf{k}_1 + 2\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Higher order Runge-Kutta methods have been devised, with the most famous being the Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg fourth/fifth order method, *RKF45*, implemented in the renowned `rkf45.f` Fortran routine.

Multistep methods

Multistep methods try to use the information about the function gathered at the previous steps. They are generally not *self-starting* as there are no previous points at the start of the integration.

A two-step method

Given two points, (x_0, \mathbf{y}_0) and (x_1, \mathbf{y}_1) , the sought function \mathbf{y} can be approximated in the vicinity of the point x_1 as

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}}(x) = \mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}'_1 \cdot (x - x_1) + \mathbf{c} \cdot (x - x_1)^2, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{y}'_1 = \mathbf{f}(x_1, \mathbf{y}_1)$ and the coefficient \mathbf{c} is found from the condition $\bar{\mathbf{y}}(x_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$,

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}'_1 \cdot (x_1 - x_0)}{(x_1 - x_0)^2}. \quad (10)$$

The value of the function at the next point, x_2 , can now be estimated as $\bar{\mathbf{y}}(x_2)$ from (9).

Predictor-corrector methods

Predictor-corrector methods use extra iterations to improve the solution. For example, the two-point Runge-Kutta method (6) is as actually a predictor-corrector method, as it first calculates the *prediction* $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1$ for $\mathbf{y}(x_1)$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1 = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0), \quad (11)$$

and then uses this prediction in a *correction* step,

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}_1 = \mathbf{y}_0 + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{f}(x_0, \mathbf{y}_0) + \mathbf{f}(x_1, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1)) \quad (12)$$

Similarly, one can use the two-step approximation (9) as a predictor, and then improve it by one order with a correction step, namely

$$\bar{\bar{\mathbf{y}}}(x) = \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x) + \mathbf{d} \cdot (x - x_1)^2 (x - x_0). \quad (13)$$

The coefficient \mathbf{d} can be found from the condition $\bar{\bar{\mathbf{y}}}'(x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{f}}_2$, where $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_2 = \mathbf{f}(x_2, \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x_2))$,

$$\mathbf{d} = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{f}}_2 - \mathbf{y}'_1 - 2\mathbf{c} \cdot (x_2 - x_1)}{2(x_2 - x_1)(x_2 - x_0) + (x_2 - x_1)^2}. \quad (14)$$

Equation (13) gives a better estimate, $\mathbf{y}_2 = \bar{\bar{\mathbf{y}}}(x_2)$, of the function at the point x_2 .

In this context the formula (9) is referred to as *predictor*, and (13) as *corrector*. The difference between the two gives an estimate of the error.

Step size control

Error estimate

The error δy of the integration step for a given method can be estimated e.g. by comparing the solutions for a full-step and two half-steps (the *Runge principle*),

$$\delta y \approx \frac{y_{\text{two_half_steps}} - y_{\text{full_step}}}{2^p - 1}, \quad (15)$$

where p is the order of the algorithm used. It is better to pick formulas where the full-step and two half-step calculations share the evaluations of the function $\mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{y})$.

Another possibility is to make the same step with two methods of different orders, the difference between the solutions providing an estimate of the error.

In a predictor-corrector method the correction itself can serve as the estimate of the error.

Table 1: Runge-Kutta mid-point stepper with error estimate.

```

function rkstep(f,x,y,h){ // Runge-Kutta midpoint step
  var k0 = f(x,y) // derivative at x0
  var y12 = [y[i]+k0[i]*h/2 for(i in y)] // half-step
  var k12 = f(x+h/2,y12) // derivative at half-step
  var y1 = [y[i]+k12[i]*h for(i in y)] // full step
  var dy = [(k12[i]-k0[i])*h/2 for(i in y)] // error estimate
  return [y1, dy] } //end rkstep

```

Adaptive step size control

Let *tolerance* τ be the maximal accepted error on the given integration step consistent with the required absolute, δ , and relative, ϵ , accuracies to be achieved in the integration of an ODE,

$$\tau = \epsilon \|\mathbf{y}\| + \delta, \quad (16)$$

where $\|\mathbf{y}\|$ is the “norm” of the column-vector \mathbf{y} .

Suppose the integration is done in n steps of size h_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i = b - a$. Under assumption that the errors at the integration steps are random and independent, the step tolerance τ_i for the step i has to scale as the square root of the step size,

$$\tau_i = \tau \sqrt{\frac{h_i}{b-a}}. \quad (17)$$

Then, if the error e_i on the step i is less than the step tolerance, $e_i \leq \tau_i$, the total error E will be consistent with the total tolerance τ ,

$$E \approx \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i^2} = \tau \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{h_i}{b-a}} = \tau. \quad (18)$$

In practice one uses the current values of the function \mathbf{y} in the estimate of the tolerance,

$$\tau_i = (\epsilon \|\mathbf{y}_i\| + \delta) \sqrt{\frac{h_i}{b-a}} \quad (19)$$

The step is accepted if the error is smaller than tolerance. The next step-size can be estimated according to the empirical prescription

$$h_{\text{new}} = h_{\text{old}} \times \left(\frac{\tau}{e}\right)^{\text{Power}} \times \text{Safety}, \quad (20)$$

where $\text{Power} \approx 0.25$, $\text{Safety} \approx 0.95$. If the error e_i is larger than tolerance τ_h the step is rejected and a new step with the new step size (20) is attempted.

Table 2: An ODE driver with adaptive step size control.

```

function rkdrive(f,a,b,y0,acc,eps,h) { //ODE driver:
//integrates y'=f(x,y) with absolute accuracy acc and relative accuracy eps
//from a to b with initial condition y0 and initial step h
//storing the results in arrays xlist and ylist
  var norm=function(v) Math.sqrt(v.reduce(function(a,b)a+b*b,0));
  var x=a, y=y0, xlist=[a], ylist=[y0];
  while(x<b){
    if(x+h>b) h=b-x // the last step has to land on "b"
    var [y1,dy]=rkstep(f, x, y, h);
    var err=norm(dy), tol=(norm(y1)*eps+acc)*Math.sqrt(h/(b-a));
    if(err<tol){x+=h; y=y1; xlist.push(x); ylist.push(y);} //accept the step
    if(err>0) h*=Math.pow(tol/err,0.25)*0.95; else h*=2; //new step
  } //end while
  return [xlist, ylist];
} // end rkdrive

```